

Remarks

In the Office Action, dated November 7, 2007, claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Odakawa (JP 2002-184,402), and claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over kang et al (US 2004/0091779).


Furthermore, claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending application No. 10/535,855, and claim 1 of copending application 11/150,451.

In the Action, claims 4-10 were allowed.

In response to the action, claims 1-3 have been canceled to obviate the rejections. Claims 4, and 6-10 have been amended to correct typographical and clerical errors. No new matter has been introduced. Therefore, the application is now in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

by   
Manabu Kanesaka  
Reg. No. 31,467  
Agent for Applicants

1700 Diagonal road, Suite 310  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
(703) 519-9785